

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)
(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

To:

MIYAZAKI, Teruo
8th Floor, 16th Kowa Bldg., 9-20, Akasaka 1-chome,
Minato-ku, Tokyo
1070052
JAPON

SEP 7, 2006

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
31 August 2006 (31.08.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
NEC04P195

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/019526

International filing date (day/month/year)
27 December 2004 (27.12.2004)

Applicant

NEC CORPORATION et al

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).



The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.



The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NEC04P195	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/019526	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 27 December 2004 (27.12.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 05 January 2004 (05.01.2004)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant NEC CORPORATION		

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 *bis*.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Date of issuance of this report 22 August 2006 (22.08.2006) Authorized officer <p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Yoshiko Kuwahara</p> e-mail: pt07@wipo.int
---	--

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

NEC04P195

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019526

International filing date (day/month/year)

27.12.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

05.01.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Applicant

NEC CORPORATION

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☒ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☒ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019526

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language

_____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐

a sequence listing

☐

table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐

in written format

☐

in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐

contained in the international application as filed.

☐

filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐

furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019526

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application

☒ claims Nos. 1, 2, 10-20

because:

☐ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. _____
relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

☒ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 1, 2, 10-2
are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

As suggested in column VIII, the descriptions in claims 1, 2, 10 and 11 are unclear; therefore, opinion cannot be given for claims 1, 2, 10 and 11, and claims 12-20 that are dependent claims of claim 11.

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. _____ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. _____

☐ the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C' of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

☐

has not been furnished

☐

does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

☐

has not been furnished

☐

does not comply with the standard

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C'-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See Supplemental Box for further details.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/019526

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	3-9	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	3-9	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	3-9	YES
	Claims		NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
<p>Document 1: JP 2002-084144 A (Sharp Corporation) 22 March 2002</p> <p>Document 2: JP 07-273559 A (Nippon Denki Engineering K.K.), 20 October 1995</p> <p>Document 3: JP 2001-313531 A (Sharp Corporation) 09 November 20001</p> <p>Document 4: JP 10-075130 A (Sharp Corporation) 17 March 1998</p> <p>The matter of claim 3, that is, a base terminal of an emitter grounding amplification circuit formed by a first bipolar transistor is connected to an input matching circuit via a first impedance element not inhibiting DC current and to a cathode of a first diode for supplying bias voltage, and the first diode has an anode connected to a reference power source serving as a sufficiently low impedance at high frequency, is neither described nor suggested in any of the documents cited in the ISR.</p> <p>The matter of claim 5, that is, between a base terminal of an emitter grounding amplification circuit formed by a first bipolar transistor and a reference voltage terminal for supplying bias voltage to the base terminal, a first diode is provided in a forward direction, and parallel to the first diode, a circuit in which a first impedance element not inhibiting a second diode or DC current is connected in series so that the second diode is in the forward direction, is neither described nor suggested in any of the documents cited in the ISR.</p> <p>Therefore, the inventions relating to claims 3-8 appear to be novel and involve an inventive step.</p>			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019526

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The meaning of the following description in claim 1 is unclear – ...has outputting property for, when signals of two waves that have a frequency close to that of said amplifier are input, a phase of a third intermodulation distortion at the moment when said signals of two waves becomes the same, it is rotated 90 degrees or more from said signals of two waves.

The meaning of the following description in claim 2 is unclear – said amplifier has a mechanism of contracting oscillation in high-frequency wave in input.

The meaning of the following description in claim 10 is unclear – ...has outputting property for, outputting property for, which the power range in which at least one stage other than the final stage from among amplification stages has gain extension characteristic, when signals of two waves that have a frequency close to that of said amplifier are input, a phase of a third intermodulation distortion at the moment when said signals of two waves becomes the same, it is rotated 90 degrees or more from said signals of two waves.

The meaning of the following description in claim 11 and claims 12-20 that are dependent claims of claim 11 is unclear – ...has a mechanism of contracting oscillation at least one stage other than the final stage from among amplification stages has gain extension characteristic.